PSE SYSTEM REBUILD

The purpose of this study is to determine where we may find opportunities to reduce inconsistencies and redundancies in the PSE roof and window selling journey.



SUMMARY



Administration Time

The average PSE / CPSE spends 20%-30% of the day completing administrative tasks. The use of multiple system has created redundant entries of similar information throughout the process.

Pricing Issues

Due to the pricing inconsistencies and usability of the Automated Pricing Tool and M2o, PSE's have developed a variety of pricing methods. This has led to inconsistent pricing and process.

PSE's and DMIS' agree that custom labor needs to be standardized. DMIS' favor eliminating the PSE's ability to adjust margins and create a flat pricing structure.

PSE's and some DMIS' have voiced concern over Lowe's markup of installer labor. The labor markup has resulted in a loss of roofing and window projects.

Needs & Wants

PSE's need reliable pricing and cohesive selling process. Below are some of the suggested features.

- Single program for in-home selling
- · Ability to access info on cell phone
- Ability to skip proposal or go directly to contract
- 1 Click for in-home presentations
- Ability to tender in-home
- Ability to send pictures directly to installer
- Greater control over scheduling
- Standardized process for PSE's and installers

SCOPE OF WORK



10 Interviews were conducted including PSE's, CPSE's and DMIS'

- 1 Group interview including 3 PSE's
- 4 PSE's interviewed individually
- 1 CPSE interviewed
- 1 PSE/CPSE Hybrid interviewed
- 4 DMIS interviewed individually



PSE KEY TAKEAWAYS



Pricing

- Inconsistent pricing through Automated Pricing Tool has led to a variety of pricing methods such as vendor pricing sheets and PSE generated spreadsheets.
- · Labor markup is too high and at times results in loss of jobs.
- Custom labor pricing needs to be standardized

Scheduling

- Automated scheduling has led to rescheduling appointments with customers.
- Current scheduling does not account for travel time or changes in daylight.
- Customers receive false cancellations on appointments.

Administration Time

- PSE's average 2 hours a day of admin work.
- Some information entered multiple times in IMS, M2o and Genisus.

Needs & Wants

- Ability to skip proposal or go directly to contract.
- Template or auto generated presentations used in the home.
- Additional payment options and in-home tendering
- Ability to send pictures directly to installer
- Roof calculator to produce supply list

DMIS KEY TAKEAWAYS



Pricing

- Eliminate margin play and institute flat pricing
- Standardize installer pricing and custom labor
- Reduce labor markup and focus on product profitability
- Give 1 week window to tender promos

Consistency Issues

- PSE's need a cohesive selling process
- Automated Pricing Tool can be inaccurate and cumbersome to use
- Product delays causing issues
- Communication between installers and PSE's should be standardized

Administration Time

- PSE's currently spend 10/hr. or more a week on administration tasks
- Current system tools are cumbersome and time consuming

Needs & Solutions

- Single program for in-home selling
- Ability to access info on cell phone
- · Consistent, standardized presentations for in-home
- Entry level window lines
- · Present customers with options for in-home or virtual visits

OPPORTUNITIES



Administration Time

Our PSE's currently spend roughly 25% of their time on administrative tasks. Many of the tasks are redundant and involve uploading and downloading of documents to several different systems. If we can connect these systems through a single platform and automate some of these redundant tasks, we could see a significant reduction in administration time.

Selling Process

Currently PSE's use a variety of programs, sales sheets and vendor supplied sales materials during their sales pitch and quoting process. Giving our PSE's a single program to guide customers to a final product selection, providing accurate pricing, automating the final presentation materials, and being able to go directly to signing and tendering the sale in-home could improve close rates and reduce the amount of time spent in the home.

Additional savings in time and expense could be seen if we gave our customers the option to meet remotely, in-store or in-home with our PSE's. This could reduce travel time and mileage costs.

Communication

The current IMS/LMS system presents a few challenges between PSE's and our customers. If we allow a little more flexibility for our PSE's to change appointments and control when the customer is notified via email, we could create a smoother experience for both.

Communication between PSE's and installers is muddled and could benefit from a standardized digital process. This could reduce errors and improve communication.

Pricing

Some additional investigation should be conducted by the business regarding competitive labor pricing. Some of our findings suggested that this could be due to competitive analysis not being granular enough. Many PSE's and some DMIS' have also questioned if the markup on labor is too high, resulting in a loss of sales.

Both PSE's and DMIS would like to see standardization of custom labor pricing.

APPENDIX



PSE AFFINITY MAPPING



Pricing Issues

| Labor is too high | Labor is marked up so much | Labor markup too high |
|---|---|---|
| Competi- tors have cheaper labor | Does not trust pricing tool | Pricing tool has cost them money |
| Dose not trust Pella pricing sheet | Don't have proper pricing in the field | Uses pricing sheet from vendors |
| Uses printed copy of Pricing | Pricing Tool needs accurate pricing! | Genisus undermarl prices |

Margins

are too

Need Predictable contractor

Need Custom accountlabor ability with should be standard-

Need a

cheaper

windows

line of

Wants

| Need strait to contract, skip prop | Want's to skip pro- posal go to contract | Generic template for proposals |
|---|--|--|
| Ability to send pictures to contractor | Need 2nd party cred- it easier to qualify | Tender in home |
| Would like more Payment options | Military or employee discount in software | Roof Calculator to give ma- terial list |
| Wants to leave quote at home | Want's to pick based on brand | Need a grace period on promos |
| Want's | | |

Websites Used

App of the

installer

sheet

| Uses ReliaBuilt quick tool | Use google street view | Uses Ta site for measure ments |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| Uses Goo- gle house with road | | |

Scheduling

| Need Control over schedule | Need flexibility in schedule | Drive time not accounted for |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Schedule is too ridged | Looks unprofes- sional to reschedule | Daylight not taken into account |
| Has to use 3 calendars | Customers get false emails on changes | |

Apps Used

| Pitch col- laborator | Margin Genie | One Note |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| App used Margin Genie | Uses Pella ADM tool | Uses Pella quick tool |

IMS/ Paperwork

| Hour worth | IMS 1-2 hours a | LMS questions |
|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | day on paperwork | need to be reduced |
| | papernent | Toddood |
| Still uses written | IMS not user | |
| proposals | friendly | |
| | | |

EagleView / Hover

| Multiple layers not covered by EagleView | Likes EagleView | Does not like Hover |
|---|---------------------------------|------------------------|
| EagleView only 50% complete | 30% partials on EagleView | |

M2o

| M2o used for doors, frustrating to use | Use M2o in the home | Not using M2o and APT in the home |
|---|------------------------------------|--|
| Only use M2o on odd windows | M2o only after final measure | |

User 1

User 2

User 3

PSE User 4

DMIS AFFINITY MAPPING



Needs/Solutions

Likes 1 Click

Likes 1 Click. products selected

Need an in-home selling tool

Compact everything in 1 program

PSE's need IMS on cell

Need to focus on sales funnel

Style quiz ahead of in home visit

Need entry level window lines

PSE's use Pella Mill Guard selling tools

Admin fee for PSE services?

Customer

choose in

person/

virtual

PSE love e-contracts

Likes Roof Central support for in a box PSE's

Pricing Issues

Remove margins flat pricing

Straight across pricing for PSE's

Flat pricing

Standardized custom labor

Pricing from install Inconsistent

Better control on installer pricing

PSE's

gins

playing

with mar-

Focus on product profit not labor

Pricing margin increased to comm

Pricing a little high on roofing

Why is

marked up

labor

30%

Introduce dynamic pricing

1 week tender on promos

Consistency

We need a cohesive selling process

1in 25 errors PSE/Install bad docs

Product

delays

causing

issues

Lead time, supply chain issues

M2o lacks APT can items for be a nightmare windows

United inches causes issues

Bandwidth issue in stores

Admin Time

Admin workload 10hr./week

Admin 2/ hr. a day

PSE admin time 20% or more.

Admin tasks 10/ hr. week

System Streamline and tools paperwork are cumbersome

Installer Issues

PSE and Installer Miscommunication Pricing work sheets gaps

PSE and Installer Product standards Installers delayed time frame

PSE CURRENT ROOF FLOW





The flow shown above represents the current suggested workflow. Most PSE's deviate from this path due to inconsistencies in process, pricing and lack of digital support.

PSE CURRENT WINDOW FLOW





The flow shown above represents the current suggested workflow. Most PSE's deviate from this path due to inconsistencies in process, pricing and lack of digital support.